

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,522	09/29/2003	Mark Bernard Hettish	2003P08061US	1651	
7590 09/26/2006			EXAMINER		
Siemens Corporation			PADMANABHAN, KAVITA		
Attn: Elsa Kelle	r, Legal Administrator				
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
170 Wood Avenue South			2161		
Iselin, NJ 088	30				

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
		10/673,5		HETTISH, MARK BERNARD				
		Examine		Art Unit				
	The MAIL ING BATE AND	1	dmanabhan	2161				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no even. The street of the s	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, .			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 June 2006						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		This action is n	on-final					
′=	secution as to the	e merits is						
٠,٣	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in the	e application						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> is/are rejected.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner						
			ccepted or b) object	ted to by the Exa	miner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•		FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				Stage			
	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	` '							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	N	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE		5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/22/06</u> . 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 have been amended.

- 2. Claim 8 has been canceled.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are pending.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The supplemental information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/22/06 was filed to correct typographical errors that were present in the IDS filed on 9/29/03. The supplemental IDS is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diacakis et al. (US 2002/0116336, hereinafter "Diacakis").

In regards to claim 1, Diacakis teaches a method, comprising:

Art Unit: 2161

detecting a new device context for a device, wherein said device is associated with an identity (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0035], par [0038]; par [0043]-par [0044]) and the device context provides an availability status of the device (Diacakis; par [0026]; par [0045]; par [0053]); and

- mapping said new device context to an identity context for said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; [0059]; Fig. 8); and
- providing data indicative of said identity context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059];
 Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 2, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detecting said new device context for said device includes detecting said new device context in a presence and availability service (Diacakis; Fig. 1).

In regards to claim 3, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detecting said new device context for said device includes receiving a request to change said device's device context (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18).

In regards to claim 4, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said mapping said new device context to said identity context for said identity includes determining said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 5, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said mapping said new device context to said identity context for said identity includes determining said identity context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 6, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- determining said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 7, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- determining said identity context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 9, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said providing data indicative of said identity context includes providing said data indicative of said identity context to an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0030]; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 10, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- receiving a request to make a change to a new identity context for an identity (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8); and
- mapping said new identity context to a device context for a device associated with said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 11, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522

Art Unit: 2161

- receiving a request to make a change to a new identity context for a second identity

(Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8); and

- mapping said new identity context to a device context for a device associated with said

second identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 12, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said receiving said

request to make said change to a new identity context for said second identity includes receiving

said request from an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18;

par [0036]).

In regards to claim 13, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said mapping said

new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity

includes determining said device associated with said second identity (Diacakis; par [0056];

par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 14, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 13, wherein said mapping said

new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity

includes determining said device context associated with said device associated with said second

identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2161

In regards to claim 15, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said mapping said new identity context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity includes accessing a mapping table (Diacakis; Fig. 2; Fig. 5; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 16, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

providing data indicative of said device context to a presence and availability service
 (Diacakis; Fig. 1; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0035], par [0038]; par [0043]-par [0044]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 17, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, further comprising:

changing an identity context for said second identity from a first identity context to a said new identity context in response to said request (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

Claim 18 is rejected with the same rationale given for claim 9.

Claims 19 and 20 are each rejected with the same rationale given for claim 1.

Response to Amendment

8. The supplemental information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/22/06 that was filed to correct typographical errors that were present in the IDS filed on 9/29/03 is being considered by the examiner.

9. Applicant's amendments filed 6/22/06 with respect to the specification objections have

been considered. The objections have been withdrawn accordingly.

10. Applicant's amendments filed 6/1/06 with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

rejections have been considered. The corresponding rejections have been withdrawn

accordingly.

11. Applicant's amendments filed 6/22/06 with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections have

been considered. The corresponding rejections have been withdrawn accordingly.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/06 with respect to the prior art rejections of the claims

have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Diacakis does not teach detecting a new device context for a device,

wherein said device is associated with an identity and the device context provides an availability

status of the device. In particular, applicant argues that the reference relied upon does not teach

a device context providing an availability status of a device. Applicant argues that Diacakis, at

most, teaches determining a presence of an individual or group of individuals, and not a status of

a device.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's arguments. The examiner asserts

that Diacakis does indeed teach a device context that provides an availability status of a device

(Diacakis; par [0026]; par [0045]; par [0053]). Clearly, whether a wireless telephone is switched

on or off is an indication of the availability status of a device (Diacakis; par [0026]).

Art Unit: 2161

Conclusion

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kavita Padmanabhan** whose telephone number is **571-272-8352**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kavita Padmanabhan Assistant Examiner AU 2161 September 18, 2006

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER